

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,038	07/29/2003	Zhenghua Yu	CR1114AC	9271
DANIEL K. NICHOLS Motorola, Inc Law Department 1303 E. Algonquin Road Schaumburg, II. 60196			EXAMINER	
			KAU, STEVEN Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Gendaniourg, 1	25 00170		2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/10/2000	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	10/631,038	YU ET AL.	
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	STEVEN KAU	2625	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:	
<ol> <li>         Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 28 Fe         (a)</li></ol>	dated), which is after the expiration of the expired on
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper	reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).	
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 be	
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if app from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ol>	licable, within the statutory period of three months
<ul> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (v.), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>	
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.	
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if re	equired by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.	
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	three-month period set in, the Notice of
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mafter the expiration of the period for reply.	Mailing or Transmission dated), which is
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.	
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of rethe applicants.</li> </ol>	ecord, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acti 1,34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	ing in a representative capacity under 37 CFR
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.</li> </ol>	_ and because the period for seeking court review
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:	
See Continuation Sheet	
/David K Moore/ /Steven Kau. Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625 Examiner, Art	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandon	ment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: As indicated in Section 1 above, the final rejection was sent out on 2/28/2008. The statutory period of this application has been expired due to no responses from the applicant. In our record, the Office Manager of AU2625, USPTO had called the applicant's attorney Mr. Nichols twice on Nov. 2008 and Dec. 2008, respectively. No responses from the attorney. The examiner also called Mr. Nichols for the application status on Feb, 2009, and was not able to talk to the attorney. Because of these reasons, the application 10/631/038 is abandoned.